

ON THE DEPENDENCE OF THE LOCAL RANKIN-SELBERG GAMMA FACTORS FOR $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \times \mathrm{GL}_m$ ON ψ

QING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let F be a p -adic field and π be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. In this paper, we show that if π and π^κ are both generic for a common generic character of the maximal unipotent of a fixed Borel, then $\pi \cong \pi^\kappa$, where π^κ is the representation induced by the conjugation action of an element $\kappa \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$. This result is a consequence of the standard local Langlands conjecture and local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. As a consequence, we extend the dependence relation of the local Rankin-Selberg gamma factors for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \times \mathrm{GL}_m$ on ψ to the general case.

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a quasi-split, connected reductive group over a p -adic field F . Let $B = TU$ be a fixed Borel subgroup over F , with unipotent subgroup U and torus T . Let Z be the center of the group $G(F)$. The torus T acts on the group $\mathrm{Hom}(U, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. A character $\theta : U(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is called generic if its centralizer in $T(F)$ is equal to the center $Z(F)$. Let G_{ad} be the adjoint group of G and T_{ad} the torus of G_{ad} corresponding to T . Then the set D of $T(F)$ -orbits of generic characters is a principal homogenous space of the abelian group

$$E = T_{ad}(F)/\mathrm{Im}(T(F)) = \ker(H^1(Z, F) \rightarrow H^1(Z, T)).$$

The group of $T_{ad}(F)$ also acts on $G(F)$ by conjugation and thus acts on the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G(F)$. For a Langlands parameter $\varphi : WD(F) \rightarrow \hat{G} \ltimes \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$, let Π_φ be the conjectural (Vogan) L-packets of φ . It is part of the local Langlands conjecture that Π_φ is stable under the action of $T_{ad}(F)$, i.e., if $\pi \in \Pi_\varphi$ and $\kappa \in T_{ad}(F)$, then $\pi^\kappa \in \Pi_\varphi$. See §9 of [GGP]. Thus by the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, Conjecture 17.1 of [GGP], if π and π^κ are both θ -generic, for some generic character θ , then we should have $\pi \cong \pi^\kappa$. In this paper, we confirm this result for the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. More precisely, for $\kappa \in F^\times$, consider the element $c_\kappa = \mathrm{diag}(\kappa I_n, I_n) \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$. For a representation π of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, denote π^κ the representation induced by the conjugation by c_κ .

Theorem (Theorem 1.1). *Let π be an irreducible smooth representation $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. If π and π^κ are both generic for a common generic character of U , then $\pi \cong \pi^\kappa$.*

When $n = 1$, this theorem follows from results of Langlands-Labesse [LL]. In [GeRoS], Gelbart, Rogawski and Soudry used this result in the case $n = 1$ to deduce similar result for the group $\mathrm{U}(1, 1)$ and then proved that in a global endoscopic L-packet of $\mathrm{U}(2, 1)$, an element π is globally generic if and only if it is locally generic. In [Zh], we give a new proof of this result in the $\mathrm{U}(1, 1)$ case, based on a standard trick of Gelfand. The proof of the above Theorem for general Sp_{2n} is a generalization of the proof given in [Zh], which is quite similar to the proof of the uniqueness of Whittaker functionals and should work for more general groups.

One application of the above Theorem is to analyze the dependence of the local Rankin-Selberg γ factors for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \times \mathrm{GL}_m$ on ψ . Given a nontrivial additive character ψ and the corresponding generic character ψ_U of U , the local Rankin-Selberg type gamma factors $\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi)$ is studied in [Ka], where π is an irreducible smooth ψ_U -generic representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and τ is a generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$. If $\kappa \in F^{\times, 2}$, it is known that

$$\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi_\kappa) = \omega_\tau(\kappa)^{2n} |\kappa|^{2nm(s - \frac{1}{2})} \gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F70, 22E50.

Key words and phrases. gamma factors, symplectic group, dependence on ψ .

We will show that the above dependence on ψ relation is true for all $\kappa \in F^\times$, once both sides are well-defined, i.e., when π is both ψ_U and $(\psi_\kappa)_U$ -generic, see Proposition 3.5.

The paper is organized as follows. The main Theorem is proved in §1. In §2, we consider the κ -action on the Weil representation, and we show the dependence of the γ factor on ψ relation in §3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank my advisor Professor James W. Cogdell for his help, encouragement and constant support.

NOTATIONS

Let F be a p -adic field, $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers, $\mathcal{P}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ and ϖ be a uniformizer of F , i.e., a generator of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $q_F = |\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}|$, and $|\cdot|_F$ be the standard valuation of F with $|\varpi|_F = q_F^{-1}$.

Let $n > 1$ be an integer and Sp_{2n} be the rank n symplectic group defined by the matrix

$$s_n = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Explicitly,

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F) : {}^t g s_n g = s_n\}.$$

Denote $G_n = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. If the rank n is understood, we will omit the subscript n from the notation, and just write $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$.

Let $P_n = M_n N_n$ be the Siegel Levi subgroup of Sp_{2n} , where

$$M_n = \left\{ \mathbf{m}_n(g) := \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & g^* \end{pmatrix}, g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F), g^* = g^{-1} \right\},$$

and

$$N_n = \left\{ \mathbf{n}_n(X) := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix}, X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(F), {}^t X = X \right\}.$$

Let U_{M_n} be the upper triangular unipotent subgroup of M_n , and $U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} = U_{M_n} N_n$, which is the maximal unipotent subgroup of the upper triangular Borel subgroup. When the rank n is understood, we will omit the subscript n for simplicity.

Let $\bar{N}$ be the opposite of N , i.e.,

$$\bar{N} = \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{n}}_n(X) := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \\ X & I_n \end{pmatrix}, X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(F), {}^t X = X \right\}.$$

Let T be the maximal torus which consists elements of the form $t = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1})$. The simple roots of Sp_{2n} are $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \beta$, where

$$\alpha_i(t) = \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \beta(t) = a_n^2.$$

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \beta\}$ be the set of simple roots, Σ^+ be the set of positive roots of Sp_{2n} and Σ be the set of roots of Sp_{2n} . For $\gamma \in \Sigma$, let U_γ be the root space of γ and let $\mathbf{x}_\gamma : F \rightarrow U$ be the corresponding isomorphism.

Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the Weyl group of Sp_{2n} . For $\gamma \in \Sigma^+$, let $s_\gamma \in \mathbf{W}$ be the simple reflection defined by γ . Then s_γ acts on the set Σ by $s_\gamma(\gamma') = \gamma' - \langle \gamma', \gamma^\vee \rangle \gamma$, where $\gamma^\vee$ is the coroot of γ , and $\langle \gamma', \gamma^\vee \rangle$ is the natural pairing between roots and coroots.

The Weyl group $\mathbf{W}$ is generated by s_{α_i} and s_β . We can take representative of s_{α_i}, s_β , by

$$s_{\alpha_i} = \mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

where the block $\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ is in the $(i, i+1) \times (i, i+1)$ position, and

$$s_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will not distinguish a Weyl element $w \in \mathbf{W}$ and its representative in G by abuse of notation.

We also use the matrix

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_m$$

frequently.

1. DEPENDENCE ON ψ FOR GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$

Let ψ be an additive character of F , and $\kappa \in F^\times$, we consider the generic character ψ_U^κ of U defined by

$$\psi_U^\kappa(u) = \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i,i+1} + \kappa \frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n} \right), u = (u_{i,j}) \in U.$$

Note that any generic character ψ' of U is conjugate to one of ψ_U^κ for some $\kappa \in F^\times$, and $\psi_U^{\kappa_1}$ is conjugate to $\psi_U^{\kappa_2}$ if and only if $\kappa_1/\kappa_2 \in F^{\times,2}$. We will write ψ_U for ψ_U^1 . Consider the element

$$c_\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa I_n & \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F).$$

For $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, we have $g^\kappa := c_\kappa g c_\kappa^{-1} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, and $g \mapsto g^\kappa$ defines an automorphism of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. Moreover, if $\kappa \in F^{\times,2}$, the automorphism $g \mapsto g^\kappa$ is inner. In fact, if $\kappa = \lambda^2$ for $\lambda \in F^\times$, then

$$g^\kappa = \mathbf{m}_n(\lambda I_n) g \mathbf{m}_n(\lambda I_n)^{-1}.$$

Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, we define another representation $(\pi^\kappa, V_{\pi^\kappa})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ by

$$V_{\pi^\kappa} = V_\pi, \text{ and } \pi^\kappa(g) = \pi(g^\kappa).$$

It is clear that if (π, V_π) is ψ_U -generic, then $(\pi^\kappa, V_{\pi^\kappa})$ is ψ_U^κ -generic. The main theorem is

Theorem 1.1. *Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible smooth admissible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and $\kappa \in F^\times$. If π is both ψ_U and ψ_U^κ -generic, then $\pi \cong \pi^\kappa$.*

Note that the condition “ π is both ψ_U and ψ_U^κ generic” can be restated as “ π and π^κ are both ψ_U -generic”.

Remark: Theorem 1.1 is a simple consequence of the local Langlands conjecture and the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. In fact, let Π_ϕ be the conjectural L-packet which contains π . Then $\pi^\kappa \in \Pi_\phi$ from the local Langlands conjecture, see §9 of [GGP]. By the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, Conjecture 17.1 of [GGP], for a fixed ψ_U , each L-packet contains at most one ψ_U generic element. Thus if π^κ is also ψ_U -generic, then $\pi^\kappa \cong \pi$.

In §1.1, we consider an involution on G which will be used to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in §1.2. If $\kappa \in F^{\times,2}$, the assertion of the theorem is trivial. Thus in the following, we assume that $\kappa \notin F^{\times,2}$.

1.1. An anti-involution on G . To prove this theorem, we recall the MVW involution of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, see [MVW]. Consider the element

$$\delta = c_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F).$$

For an irreducible smooth admissible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, we consider the representation π^δ on the same space by $\pi^\delta(g) = \pi(g^\delta)$ with $g^\delta = \delta^{-1}g\delta$, i.e., $\pi^\delta = \pi^{-1}$, where π^{-1} denotes π^κ when $\kappa = -1$. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ denote the contragradient representation of π , then we have $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi^\delta \cong \pi^{-1}$, see Théothèm, Chapter 4, II.1, p.91 of [MVW].

Let $w_l = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{pmatrix}$, which is the long Weyl element of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. We consider a different form of $\tilde{\pi}$. Denote $g^\iota = w_l \delta g \delta^{-1} w_l^{-1}$. Then it is clear that $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi^\delta \cong \pi^\iota$, where $\pi^\iota(g) = \pi(g^\iota)$. We have $U^\iota = \bar{U}$, where $\bar{U}$ is the opposite of U . Define a generic character $\psi_{\bar{U}}$ of $\bar{U}$ by $\psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}) = \psi_U(\bar{u}^\iota)$. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^\iota = \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}, A, B, C, D \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(F).$$

In particular

$$\mathbf{m}_n(A)^\iota = \mathbf{m}_n({}^t A^{-1}), A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F), \text{ and } \mathbf{n}_n(X)^\iota = \bar{\mathbf{n}}_n({}^t X), X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(F), {}^t X = X.$$

Lemma 1.2. *An irreducible admissible representation π of G is ψ_U -generic if and only if $\tilde{\pi}$ is $\psi_{\bar{U}}$ -generic.*

Proof. This follows from $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi^\iota$, and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_U(\pi, \psi_U) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{U}}(\pi^\iota, \psi_{\bar{U}}).$$

□

Let $g^\rho = w_l c_{-\kappa} g c_{-\kappa^{-1}} w_l^{-1}$, and $g^\theta = (g^{-1})^\rho$. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^\theta = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t A & -\kappa^{-1} {}^t C \\ -\kappa {}^t B & {}^t D \end{pmatrix}, A, B, C, D \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(F).$$

It is clear that $(g_1 g_2)^\theta = g_2^\theta g_1^\theta$ and $(g^\theta)^\theta = g$, i.e., $g \mapsto g^\theta$ is an anti-involution on $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 following the method of [Sh]. One key step is the following proposition, which is the analogue of (1.5) in [Sh].

Proposition 1.3. *Assume $\kappa \notin F^{\times, 2}$. Fix $s \in N_G(T)$, the normalizer of T in G . If $\psi_{\bar{U}}(su^{-1}s^{-1}) = \psi_U^\kappa(u)$ for all $u \in U \cap s^{-1}\bar{U}s$, then $s^\theta = s$.*

For $w \in \mathbf{W}$, denote $\Sigma_w^- = \{\gamma \in \Sigma^+ : w(\gamma) < 0\}$ and $U_w^- = \prod_{\gamma \in \Sigma_w^-} U_\gamma$. To prove Proposition 1.3, we need the following:

Lemma 1.4. (1) *There is no $w \in \mathbf{W}$ such that $w(\beta) \in \{\pm\alpha_1, \pm\alpha_2, \dots, \pm\alpha_{n-1}\}$.*
 (2) *Given $w \in \mathbf{W}$, assume that $\beta \notin \Sigma_w^-$ and for each $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_w^-$, the positive root $-w(\alpha_i)$ is simple. Then there exists integers $0 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_s = n$ such that w can be represented by*

$$\mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} J_{t_1-t_0} & & & \\ & J_{t_2-t_1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_{t_s-t_{s-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that J_k is the $k \times k$ matrix with 1 on the skew diagonal and 0 elsewhere.

Proof Lemma 1.4. (1) Note that there is no i such that $\pm\alpha_i$ has the same length with β . The assertion follows from the fact that $w(\beta)$ and β have the same root length.

(2) Let w_l be the long Weyl element in Sp_{2n} and $w' = w_l w$. Then we get $w'(\alpha_i)$ is either simple or negative and $w'(\beta) < 0$. The Weyl elements $w' \in \mathbf{W}$ which satisfies the condition w' (simple root) are characterized by Lemma 89 of [St], page 257. Such w' are exactly Weyl elements which support Bessel functions. Our assertion follows from Steinberg's general Lemma directly.

In our case, we give a detailed proof here. We introduce the notation $\beta_i = 2(\alpha_i + \cdots + 2\alpha_{n-1}) + \beta$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and denote $\beta_n = \beta$. We can check that

$$(1.1) \quad s_{\alpha_k}(\beta_i) = \beta_i - \langle \beta_i, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle \alpha_k = \begin{cases} \beta_{i+1}, & i = k, \\ \beta_{i-1}, & i = k+1, \\ \beta_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, s_{α_k} preserves the set $\{\beta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Moreover, we have

$$(1.2) \quad s_\beta(\beta_i) = \beta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and } s_\beta(\beta_n) = -\beta_n.$$

In particular, every $w \in \mathbf{W}$ preserves the set $\{\pm\beta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ (we can also use the length argument to show this). Note that this gives an alternative proof of (1). Now take a $w \in \mathbf{W}$ as in the condition, i.e., $\beta \notin \Sigma_w^-$ and for each $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_w^-$, the positive root $-w(\alpha_i)$ is simple.

Claim 1: $w(\beta_i) > 0$ for each i .

We prove Claim 1 by descending induction on i . If $i = n$, $w(\beta_n) = w(\beta) > 0$ by assumption. In general, we assume that $w(\beta_{i+1})$ is positive and will show that $w(\beta_i) > 0$. By the above argument, we can assume that $w(\beta_{i+1}) = \beta_{\omega(i+1)}$ for some $\omega(i+1) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $w(\beta_i) < 0$, we can assume $w(\beta_i) = -\beta_{\omega(i)}$ for some $\omega(i)$. Then we have

$$w(2\alpha_i) = w(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1}) = -\beta_{\omega(i)} - \beta_{\omega(i+1)}.$$

If $\omega(i) = \omega(i+1) = n$, then $w(2\alpha_i) = -2\beta$ and thus $w(\alpha_i) = -\beta$, which is impossible by (1). If at least one of $\omega(i), \omega(i+1)$ is $\leq n-1$, then $-w(\alpha_i)$ is not a simple root. This contradicts the assumption. This proves Claim 1.

By Claim 1, we can assume that $w(\beta_i) = \beta_{\omega(i)}$ for each i with $\omega(i) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Claim 2: For $i \neq j$, we have $\omega(i) \neq \omega(j)$. In particular, the map $i \mapsto \omega(i)$ is a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

In fact, if $\omega(i) = \omega(j)$ for some $i \neq j$, then $w(\beta_i - \beta_j) = 0$, which is impossible since $\frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j)$ is a nonzero root.

Claim 3: For $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, if $\omega(i) > \omega(i+1)$, then $\omega(i+1) = \omega(i) - 1$ and $w(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{\omega(i)-1} = -\alpha_{\omega(i+1)}$.

Suppose that $\omega(i) > \omega(i+1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w(2\alpha_i) &= w(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1}) \\ &= \beta_{\omega(i)} - \beta_{\omega(i+1)} \\ &= 2(\alpha_{\omega(i)} + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) - 2(\alpha_{\omega(i+1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) \\ &= -2(\alpha_{\omega(i+1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{\omega(i)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w(\alpha_i) = -(\alpha_{\omega(i+1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{\omega(i)-1})$. Since $-w(\alpha_i)$ is positive, it must be simple, i.e., $\omega(i) - 1 = \omega(i+1)$. This proves Claim 3.

Claim 4: For $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, if $\omega(i) < \omega(i+1)$, then $w(\alpha_i) = \sum_{t=\omega(i)}^{\omega(i+1)-1} \alpha_t$.

This follows from the same calculation as in the proof of Claim 3.

By Claim 3 and Claim 4 and the fact $w(\beta) = w(\beta_n) = \beta_{\omega(n)}$, the action of the Weyl element w on the set Δ is uniquely determined by a permutation ω of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that if $\omega(i) > \omega(i+1)$ then $\omega(i+1) = \omega(i) - 1$. Thus w is uniquely determined by such a permutation. It is easy to classify such permutations: they are defined by collections of integers $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_s = n$, where the permutation ω corresponding to $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_s)$ acts on each segment $I_k = [t_k + 1, t_{k+1}]$ by order reversing translation: $t_k + 1 \mapsto t_{k+1}, t_k + 2 \mapsto t_{k+1} - 1, \dots, t_{k+1} \mapsto t_k + 1$, i.e., by the matrix

$$J_{t_{k+1}-t_k} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix},$$

see [BZ], page 59-60. Then it is clear that the Weyl element

$$\mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} J_{t_1-t_0} & & & \\ & J_{t_2-t_1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_{t_s-t_{s-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is one Weyl element corresponding to the permutation ω , and thus it must be w since w is uniquely determined by the permutation ω . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.3. For $s \in N_G(T)$, we can assume that $s = tw$ for some $t \in T$ and $w \in \mathbf{W}$. Then $U \cap s^{-1}\bar{U}s = U_w^-$. If $w = 1$, the assertion is clear. Now we assume that $w \neq 1$ and hence $U_w^- \neq 1$.

We first consider the case that $\beta \in \Sigma_w^-$. We take $u = \mathbf{x}_\beta(x)$ for $x \in F$. Then $\psi_U^\kappa(u) = \psi(\kappa x)$. If $-w(\beta)$ is not simple, then we have $\psi_{\bar{U}}(sus^{-1}) = 1$, and thus it is clear that we can find an x such that $\psi_{\bar{U}}(su^{-1}s^{-1}) \neq \psi_U^\kappa(u)$. Thus $-w(\beta)$ must be simple. By Lemma 1.4, we have $-w(\beta) = \beta$. Then $\psi_{\bar{U}}(su^{-1}s^{-1}) = \psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) = \psi(a_n^2x)$, where $t = \mathbf{m}_n(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n))$. Since $\kappa \notin F^{\times,2}$, it is clear that we can choose $x \in F$ such that $\psi(a_n^2x) \neq \psi(\kappa x)$, i.e., $\psi_{\bar{U}}(su^{-1}s^{-1}) \neq \psi_U^\kappa(u)$ for $u = \mathbf{x}_\beta(x)$. Thus there is no w and $t \in T$ such that $w(\beta) < 0$ and $\psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) = \psi_U^\kappa(u)$ for all $u \in U_w^-$.

Next we consider the case $\beta \notin \Sigma_w^-$. Since Σ_w^- is not empty, it must contains a simple root, which must be of the form α_i since $\beta \notin \Sigma_w^-$. We first consider the case that there exists an i with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $-w(\alpha_i)$ is a positive non-simple root, then we have $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_w^-$. Moreover, if we take $u = \mathbf{x}_{\alpha_i}(x) \in U_w^-$, then $\psi_{\bar{U}}(su^{-1}s^{-1}) = 1$. We can choose x such that $\psi_U^\kappa(u) = \psi(x)$ is nontrivial, and thus $\psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) \neq \psi_U^\kappa(u)$.

Finally we consider the case when $\beta \notin \Sigma_w^-$ and for each $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_w^-$, the positive root $-w(\alpha_i)$ is simple. Then by Lemma 1.4, there exists integers $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_s = n$ such that

$$w = \mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} J_{t_1-t_0} & & & \\ & J_{t_2-t_1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_{t_s-t_{s-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $t = \mathbf{m}_n(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n))$. If $\psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) = \psi_U^\kappa(u)$ for all $u \in U_w^-$, we claim that a_i is constant for $i \in I_k = [t_k + 1, t_{k+1}]$ for each k , i.e., $a_i = a_j$ for all $i, j \in I_k$. Take $i \in I_k, i < t_{k+1}$ and we have $w(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{\omega(i+1)}$, where ω is the permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ corresponding to w , see the proof of Lemma 1.4. In particular, we have $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_w^-$. Take $u = \mathbf{x}_{\alpha_i}(x)$ for some $x \in F$. Then $\psi_U^\kappa(u) = \psi(x)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) = \psi(\alpha_{\omega(i+1)}(t)x).$$

By assumption, we have $\psi(\alpha_{\omega(i+1)}(t)x) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in F$. Since ψ is nontrivial, we get $\alpha_{\omega(i+1)}(t) = 1$, i.e., $a_{\omega(i+1)} = a_{\omega(i+1)+1} = a_{\omega(i)}$. Since $\omega|_{I_k}$ is also a permutation, we get $a_i = a_j$ for all $i, j \in I_k$. This proves the claim.

Thus if $\psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) = \psi_U^\kappa(u)$ for all $u \in U_w^-$, we get

$$tw = \mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} a_{t_1} J_{t_1-t_0} & & & \\ & a_{t_2} J_{t_2-t_1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_{t_s} J_{t_s-t_{s-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $(tw)^\theta = tw$. $\square$

1.2. Proof of theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{S}(G)$ be the space of Bruhat-Schwartz functions on G . Consider the action of $G \times G$ on $\mathcal{S}(G)$ by $((g_1, g_2) \cdot f)(g) = f(g_1^{-1}gg_2)$, i.e., $(g_1, g_2) \cdot f = l(g_1)r(g_2)f$, where l and r denotes the left and right translation respectively.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a distribution on $\mathcal{S}(G)$. As usual, we fix a $\kappa \in F^\times - F^{\times,2}$. We call $\mathcal{T}$ is quasi-invariant, if

$$\mathcal{T}((\bar{u}_1, u_2).f) = \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1)\psi_U^\kappa(u_2)\mathcal{T}(f), \forall \bar{u}_1 \in \bar{U}, u_2 \in U, f \in \mathcal{S}(G).$$

For a distribution $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{S}(G)$, define another distribution $\mathcal{T}^\theta$ by $\mathcal{T}^\theta(f) = \mathcal{T}(f^\theta)$, where $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $f^\theta(g) = f(g^\theta)$.

Theorem 1.5. *If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ is quasi-invariant, then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^\theta$.*

Proof. The following proof follows [Sh] closely, and we just give a sketch of the proof. To show $\mathcal{T}(f) = \mathcal{T}^\theta(f) = \mathcal{T}(f^\theta)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{T}(f) = \mathcal{T}^\theta(f) = \mathcal{T}(f^\theta)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{U}TwU)$ and all $w \in \mathbf{W}$.

Denote $C = \bar{U} \times T \times U$ and $C(w) = \bar{U}TwU$, and let $p : C \rightarrow C(w)$ be the projection defined by $p(\bar{u}, t, u) = \bar{u}twu$. Then p is submersive (Lemma 1.9 of [Sh]). By a general result of Harish-Chandra, for each $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(C)$, there exists a unique function $f_\xi \in \mathcal{S}(C(w))$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{U} \times T \times U} h(\bar{u}_1 twu_2) \xi(\bar{u}_1, t, u_2) d\bar{u}_1 dt du_2 \\ &= \int_{\bar{U} \times T \times U_w^+} h(\bar{u}_1 twu_2) f_\xi(\bar{u}_1 twu_2) d\bar{u}_1 dt du_2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{S}(C(w))$.

Moreover, the assignment $\xi \mapsto f_\xi$ is surjective, and $\mathrm{supp}(f_\xi) \subset p(\mathrm{supp}(\xi))$. See Lemma 1.10 of [Sh]. From the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{S}(C(w))$ one can get a distribution $\mathcal{T}^*$ on $\mathcal{S}(C)$ by

$$\mathcal{T}^*(\xi) = \mathcal{T}(f_\xi),$$

which satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{T}^*((\bar{u}_1, u_2).\xi) = \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1)\psi_U^\kappa(u_2)\mathcal{T}^*(\xi), \forall \bar{u}_1 \in \bar{U}, u_2 \in U.$$

For $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(C)$, consider the function $F_\xi \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ defined by

$$F_\xi(t) = \int_{\bar{U} \times U} \xi(\bar{u}_1, t, u_2) \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1) \psi_U^\kappa(u_2^{-1}) d\bar{u}_1 du_2.$$

Then the assignment $\xi \mapsto F_\xi$ is surjective, and there is a distribution τ on $\mathcal{S}(T)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \tau(F_\xi) = \mathcal{T}^*(\xi) = \mathcal{T}(f_\xi),$$

see Proposition 1.12 of [Sh] and the references given there.

Let $T_{\psi, \chi}$ be the subset of $t \in T$ such that $\psi_{\bar{U}}(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}) = \psi_U^\kappa(u)$ for all $u \in U_w^-$.

Claim 1: the distribution τ on T has support in $T_{\psi, \kappa}$, cf. Proposition 1.13 of [Sh].

We give a sketch of the proof of this claim following [Sh]. For $u \in U_w^-$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(C)$, consider $u * \xi \in \mathcal{S}(C)$ defined by

$$u * \xi(\bar{u}_1, t, u_2) = \xi(\bar{u}_1 twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}, t, uu_2).$$

By the defining property of f_ξ , Eq.(1.3), it is easy to see that $f_{u*\xi} = f_\xi$. In particular, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \tau(F_{u*\xi}) = \mathcal{T}(f_{u*\xi}) = \mathcal{T}(f_\xi) = \tau(F_\xi).$$

On the other hand, by changing variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{u*\xi}(t) &= \int_{\bar{U} \times U} \xi(\bar{u}_1 twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}, t, uu_2) \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1) \psi_U^\kappa(u_2^{-1}) d\bar{u}_1 du_2 \\ &= \psi_{\bar{U}}(twuw^{-1}t^{-1}) \psi_U^\kappa(u) F_\xi(t). \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed $u \in U_w^-$, let $c(t) = \psi_{\bar{U}}(twuw^{-1}t^{-1}) \psi_U^\kappa(u)$. By Eq.(1.5) we get $(c(t) - 1)\tau(F_\xi) = 0$ for all $F_\xi \in \mathcal{S}(T)$. If $t_0 \notin T_{\xi, \kappa}$, choose $u \in U_w^-$ such that $c(t_0) \neq 1$. Let D_0 be a small neighborhood of t_0 such that $c(t) \neq 1$ for all $t \in D_0$. We can choose ξ_0 such that F_{ξ_0} be the characteristic function of D_0 . Thus we get $\tau(F_{\xi_0}) = 0$. This shows that τ has support in $T_{\psi, \kappa}$.

Thus if $T_{\psi, \kappa}$ is empty, we are done. Now we suppose that $T_{\psi, \kappa}$ is not empty, by Proposition 1.3, we have $w^\theta = w$. Thus $(tw)^\theta w^{-1} = wt^\theta w^{-1} \in T$ for any $t \in T$. Given $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(C)$, we define $\xi^\theta \in \mathcal{S}(C)$ by

$$\xi^\theta(\bar{u}_1, t, u_2) = \xi(u_2^\theta, (tw)^\theta w^{-1}, \bar{u}_1^\theta).$$

Claim 2: We have $f_{\xi^\theta} = (f_\xi)^\theta$. This is a direct but tedious changing variable process using the defining property of f_ξ , Eq.(1.3). A slightly simpler way to do this is using the formula for f_ξ :

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f_\xi(\bar{u}_1 twu_2) &= \int_{U_w^-} u * \xi(\bar{u}_1, t, u_2) du \\ &= \int_{U_w^-} \xi(\bar{u}_1 twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}, t, uu_2) du, \end{aligned}$$

cf. Eq.(1.11) of [Sh]. From the uniqueness property of f_ξ , it is easy to get Eq.(1.6) from Eq.(1.3), see [Sh] for more explanations. We now use Eq.(1.6) to check Claim 2. By Eq.(1.6), we get

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\xi^\theta}(\bar{u}_1 twu_2) &= \int_{U_w^-} \xi^\theta(\bar{u}_1 twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1}, t, uu_2) du \\ &= \int_{U_w^-} \xi(u_2^\theta u^\theta, (tw)^\theta w^{-1}, (twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1})^\theta \bar{u}_1^\theta) du. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Eq.(1.6) again, we have

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f_\xi^\theta(\bar{u}_1 twu_2) &= f_\xi(u_2^\theta (tw)^\theta w^{-1} w \bar{u}_1^\theta) \\ &= \int_{U_w^-} \xi(u_2^\theta (tw)^\theta \tilde{u}^{-1} ((tw)^\theta)^{-1}, (tw)^\theta w^{-1}, \tilde{u} \bar{u}_1^\theta) du. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $T_{\psi, \kappa}$ is not empty, the Weyl element must be of the form as described in Lemma 1.4. Then it is easy to check that for $u \in U_w^-$, we have $(twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1})^\theta \in U_w^-$, and the map $u \mapsto (twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1})^\theta$ gives an automorphism of U_w^- . Thus if we let $\tilde{u} = (twu^{-1}w^{-1}t^{-1})^\theta$, the right side of Eq.(1.7) and Eq.(1.8) are the same, i.e., $f_{\xi^\theta} = f_\xi^\theta$. This proves Claim 2.

Claim 3: For $t \in T_{\psi, \kappa}$, we have $F_{\xi^\theta}(t) = F_\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(C)$. By the definition of F_ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\xi^\theta}(t) &= \int_{\bar{U} \times U} \xi^\theta(\bar{u}_1, t, u_2) \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1) \psi_U^\kappa(u_2^{-1}) d\bar{u}_1 du_2 \\ &= \int_{\bar{U} \times U} \xi(u_2^\theta, (tw)^\theta w^{-1}, \bar{u}_1^\theta) \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1) \psi_U^\kappa(u_2^{-1}) d\bar{u}_1 du_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{u}'_1 = u_2^\theta$ and $u'_2 = \bar{u}_1^\theta$. It is easy to check that $\psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}'_1) = \psi_U^\kappa(u_2^{-1})$ and $\psi_U^\kappa((u'_2)^{-1}) = \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1)$. Thus by changing variable, we get

$$F_{\xi^\theta}(t) = \int_{\bar{U} \times U} \xi(\bar{u}_1, (tw)^\theta w^{-1}, u_2) \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1) \psi_U^\kappa(u_2^{-1}) d\bar{u}_1 du_2.$$

By Proposition 1.3, we have $(tw)^\theta = tw$ for $t \in T_{\psi, \kappa}$, and thus we get $F_{\xi^\theta}(t) = F_\xi(t)$ for all $t \in T_{\psi, \kappa}$. This proves Claim 3.

From Claim 1-Claim 3 and the definition of τ , i.e. Eq.(1.5), we get

$$\mathcal{T}(f_\xi^\theta) = \tau(F_{\xi^\theta}) = \tau(F_\xi) = \mathcal{T}(f_\xi).$$

Since $\xi \mapsto f_\xi$ is surjective, we get $\mathcal{T}(f) = \mathcal{T}(f^\theta)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(C(w))$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using a Gelfand's trick, it is not hard to show that Theorem 1.5 implies Theorem 1.1. We give some details below.

Take $\sigma = \tilde{\pi}$, then we have $\tilde{\sigma} \cong \pi$. By assumption, π is $\psi_{\bar{U}}$ -generic, and thus σ is $\psi_{\bar{U}}$ -generic by Lemma 1.2. We fix a nonzero element $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{U}}(\sigma, \psi_{\bar{U}})$ and a non-zero element $\mu \in \mathrm{Hom}_U(\tilde{\sigma}, \psi_U^\kappa)$. Note that μ defines a map $\mu : V_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Denote its dual by μ^* . Define a distribution $\mathcal{T}$ on G by

$$\mathcal{T}(f) = \lambda \circ \sigma(f) \circ \mu^* \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}, \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G),$$

where $\sigma(f)$ is the canonical operator on V_σ defined by $\pi(f).v = \int_G f(g)\sigma(g)vdg$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{T}(f)$ is well-defined. From the choice of λ and μ , it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{T}((\bar{u}_1, u_2).f) = \psi_{\bar{U}}(\bar{u}_1)\psi_U^\kappa(u_2)\mathcal{T}(f), \forall \bar{u}_1 \in \bar{U}, u_2 \in U_2, f \in \mathcal{S}(G),$$

i.e., $\mathcal{T}$ is quasi-invariant. By Theorem 1.5, we get

$$\mathcal{T}^\theta = \mathcal{T}.$$

Consider the bilinear form $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{S}(G) \times \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(f, \phi) = \mathcal{T}(f * \check{\phi}), f, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(G),$$

where $\check{\phi}(g) = \phi(g^{-1})$ and $*$ denotes convolution. We have

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{B}(f, \phi) = \mathcal{T}(f * \check{\phi}) = \mathcal{T}^\theta(f * \check{\phi}) = \mathcal{T}((f * \check{\phi})^\theta) = \mathcal{T}(\check{\phi}^\theta * f^\theta) = \mathcal{T}(\phi^\rho * f^\rho),$$

recall that $g^\rho = w_l \delta c_\kappa g c_\kappa^{-1} \delta^{-1} w_l^{-1}$ and $g^\theta = (g^{-1})^\rho = (g^\rho)^{-1}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, consider a linear function λ_f on V_σ by $\lambda_f(v) = \lambda(\sigma(f)v)$. It is clear that $\lambda_f \in \tilde{V}_\sigma = V_{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and $f \mapsto \lambda_f$ defines a map $\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}$. As before, let r denote the right translation. We claim that the map

$$\lambda : (r, \mathcal{S}(G)) \mapsto (\tilde{\sigma}, V_{\tilde{\sigma}}),$$

$f \mapsto \lambda_f$ is in fact intertwining. In fact, for $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\sigma}(g)\lambda_f, v \rangle &= \langle \lambda_f, \sigma(g^{-1})v \rangle \\ &= \lambda(\sigma(f)\sigma(g^{-1})v) \\ &= \lambda\left(\int_G f(g')\sigma(g'g^{-1})vdg'\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(\int_G f(g'g)\sigma(g')vdg'\right) \\ &= \lambda(\sigma(r(g)f)v) \\ &= \langle \lambda_{\sigma(r(g)f)}, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. Since $\tilde{\sigma}$ is irreducible and $f \mapsto \lambda_f$ is nontrivial, the map $\lambda : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}$ is surjective.

Similarly, we define a map $\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\sigma}} = V_\sigma$ by $f \mapsto \mu_f$, and $\mu_f(\tilde{v}) = \mu(\tilde{\sigma}(f)\tilde{v})$, for $\tilde{v} \in V_{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Let r^ρ be the representation of G on $\mathcal{S}(G)$ defined by $r^\rho(g)f = r(g^\rho)f$. A similar argument as above shows that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : (r^\rho, \mathcal{S}(G)) &\rightarrow (\sigma^\rho, V_\sigma) \\ f &\mapsto \mu_f \end{aligned}$$

is intertwining.

Since ρ is an involution ($(g_1 g_2)^\rho = g_1^\rho g_2^\rho$, $(g^\rho)^\rho = g$), an easy calculation shows that the assignment $f \mapsto f^\rho$ defines an isomorphism

$$(r, \mathcal{S}(G)) \rightarrow (r^\rho, \mathcal{S}(G)).$$

Let $J(\lambda)$ (resp. $J(\mu)$) be the kernel of the map $\lambda : (r, \mathcal{S}(G)) \rightarrow (\tilde{\sigma}, V_{\tilde{\sigma}})$ (resp. $\mu : (r^\rho, \mathcal{S}(G)) \rightarrow (\sigma^\rho, V_\sigma)$). From the irreducibility of our representations σ^ρ and $\tilde{\sigma}$, it is easy to see that

$$J(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(G) | \mathcal{B}(f, \phi) = 0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(G)\},$$

and

$$J(\mu) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G) | \mathcal{B}(f, \phi) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G)\}.$$

By Eq. (1.9), we have

$$J(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(G) | \mathcal{B}(\phi^\rho, f^\rho) = 0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(G)\} = J(\mu)^\rho.$$

Now the isomorphism $(r, \mathcal{S}(G)) \mapsto (r^\rho, \mathcal{S}(G))$, $f \mapsto f^\rho$ gives the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & (r, \mathcal{S}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \tilde{\sigma} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J(\mu) = J(\lambda)^\rho & \longrightarrow & (r^\rho, \mathcal{S}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \sigma^\rho \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

and hence $\tilde{\sigma} \cong \sigma^\rho$. Recall that $g^\rho = w_l \delta c_\kappa g c_\kappa^{-1} w_l^{-1}$. It is clear that $\sigma^\rho \cong \widetilde{\sigma^\kappa} \cong \pi^\kappa$, where we used $\widetilde{\pi^\kappa} \cong \tilde{\pi}^\kappa$. Thus we get $\pi \cong \pi^\kappa$, which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

2. DEPENDENCE ON ψ FOR GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$ be the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ which is realized by the Rao cocycle, [Rao]. More explicitly, let $\mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$, then as a set $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \times \mu_2$. The multiplication in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$ is given by

$$(g_1, \epsilon_1)(g_2, \epsilon_2) = (g_1 g_2, c(g_1, g_2) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2), g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F), \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mu_2,$$

where $c : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \rightarrow \mu_2$ is the Rao cocycle defined in [Rao].

It is well-known that the double cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ splits trivially over $U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$. Let $\widetilde{U}$ be the preimage of $U = U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$. We have $\widetilde{U} \cong U \times \mu_2$ as a group. For a nontrivial additive character ψ of F and $\kappa \in F^\times$, let $\psi_{\widetilde{U}}^\kappa$ be the character of $\widetilde{U}$ defined by

$$\psi_{\widetilde{U}}^\kappa((u, \epsilon)) = \epsilon \psi_U^\kappa(u).$$

If $\kappa = 1$, we will write $\psi_{\widetilde{U}}^\kappa$ as $\psi_{\widetilde{U}}$ for brevity.

2.1. The κ -action on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$. Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$. In [Sz], it was showed that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U}}(\pi, \psi_{\widetilde{U}}^\kappa) \leq 1,$$

for any κ . If $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U}}(\pi, \psi_{\widetilde{U}}^\kappa) \neq 0$, we say that π is $\psi_{\widetilde{U}}^\kappa$ -generic as usual.

From the theory of the Weil representation (see page 36 of [MVW]), there is a map $v_\kappa : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \rightarrow \mu_2$ such that the assignment $(g, \epsilon) \mapsto (g, \epsilon)^\kappa := (g^\kappa, \epsilon v_\kappa(g))$ defines an automorphism of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$. Alternatively, we have

$$(g, \epsilon)^\kappa = (c_\kappa, 1) \cdot (g, 1) \cdot (c_\kappa, 1)^{-1},$$

where $(c_\kappa, 1) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$ and the product is the product in $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$ defined using v_κ , see §2B of [Sz].

The map v_κ is determined in [Sz], Eq.(2-21). We give some details on the formula of v_κ . For an integer j with $0 \leq j \leq n$, let Ω_j be the subset of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ which consists elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, A, B, C, D \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(F), \text{ with } \dim \ker(C) = n - j.$$

Then we have the decomposition $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) = \coprod_j \Omega_j$, see Lemma 2.14 of [Rao]. For $g \in \Omega_j$, then Eq.(2-21) of [Sz] reads

$$(2.1) \quad v_\kappa(g) = (\mathbf{x}(g), \kappa^{j+1})_F(\kappa, \kappa)_F^{j(j-1)/2},$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is the function $\mathbf{x} : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \rightarrow F^\times / F^{\times, 2}$ defined in Theorem 3.5 of [Rao], and $(\ , \)_F$ is the Hilbert symbol.

2.2. The Weil representations. For a non-trivial additive character ψ , and let $\gamma(\psi)$ be the Weil index of second degree on F associated with ψ , see the appendix of [Rao] or [Ku]. For $a \in F^\times$, let $\gamma(a, \psi) = \gamma(\psi_a)/\gamma(\psi)$, where ψ_a is the additive character $\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax)$. We will also write $\gamma_\psi(a) = \gamma(a, \psi)$.

Recall that $s_n = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{pmatrix}$. Let $W_n = F^{2n}$ be the symplectic space defined by s_n , i.e., $\langle x, y \rangle = x s_n^t y$, for $x, y \in W_n$ as row vectors.

Let H_n be the Heisenberg group of W_n . As a set we have $H_n = W_n \oplus F$. The addition in H_n is given by

$$[w_1, t_1] + [w_2, t_2] = [w_1 + w_2, t_1 + t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle],$$

where $w_1, w_2 \in W_n$ are row vectors, $t_1, t_2 \in F$. Consider the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$ on H_n given by

$$[w, t]^{(g, \epsilon)} = [wg, t].$$

We can form the semi-direct product $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F) \ltimes H_n$. It is well-known that there is a Weil representation ω_ψ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F) \ltimes H_n$ on $\mathcal{S}(F^n)$, the Bruhat-Schwartz functions on F^n . Consider the complete polarization $F^{2n} = F^n \oplus F^n$ and the corresponding Schrödinger model, we have the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\psi([(x, 0), z])\phi(\xi) &= \psi(z)\phi(x + \xi), \\ \omega_\psi([(0, y), 0])\phi(\xi) &= \psi(\xi^t y)\phi(\xi), \\ \omega_\psi((\mathbf{m}_n(a), \epsilon))\phi(\xi) &= \epsilon \gamma(\det(a), \psi_{1/2}) |\det(a)|^{1/2} \phi(\xi a), \\ \omega_\psi((\mathbf{n}_n(b), \epsilon))\phi(\xi) &= \epsilon \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \xi b^t \xi\right) \phi(\xi), \\ \omega_\psi((w_n, 1))\phi(\xi) &= \gamma(\psi_{1/2})^{-n} \int_{F^n} \psi^{-1}(\mathrm{tr}(\langle \xi, y \rangle)) \phi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the last expression, $w_n = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{pmatrix}$, dy is the Haar measure on F^n which is self-dual with respect to this Fourier transform. For these formulas, see [Ku] for example.

For $[(x, y), z] \in H_n$, define $[(x, y), z]^\kappa = [(x, \kappa y), \kappa z]$. It is easy to check that the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$ on H_n is compatible with the action of κ .

Proposition 2.1. *The identity map defines an isomorphism $((\omega_\psi)^\kappa, \mathcal{S}(F^n)) \cong (\omega_{\psi_\kappa}, \mathcal{S}(F^n))$ as a representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F) \ltimes H_n$.*

Proof. It is a general fact that up to isomorphism, there is only one genuine smooth representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F) \ltimes H_n$ such that as a representation of H_n it is irreducible and has central character ψ_κ . From this fact, one deduce easily that there is an abstract isomorphism $(\omega_\psi)^\kappa \cong \omega_{\psi_\kappa}$. We can use the Weil representation formulas to check that the identity map defines such an isomorphism.

We need to check that for any $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, $\epsilon \in \mu_2$, $h \in H_r$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^n)$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_\psi((g, \epsilon)^\kappa) \phi = \omega_{\psi_\kappa}((g, \epsilon)) \phi,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_\psi(h^\kappa) \phi = \omega_{\psi_\kappa}(h) \phi.$$

By the Weil representation formulas, Eq.(2.3) is clear. It is easy to see that to check Eq.(2.2), we can assume $\epsilon = 1$, i.e., we need to check that

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_\psi((g^\kappa, v_\kappa(g))) \phi = \omega_{\psi_\kappa}((g, 1)) \phi,$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^n)$. It suffices to check this when $g = \mathbf{m}_n(a)$, $\mathbf{n}_n(b)$ and w_n . We omit the details for the easy cases when $g = \mathbf{m}_n(a)$ and $\mathbf{n}_n(b)$. We consider the case $g = w_n$. We have $v_\kappa(g) = (\kappa, \kappa)^{n(n-1)/2} = (-1, \kappa)^{n(n-1)/2}$ by Eq.(2.1). Moreover, we have

$$w_n^\kappa = \mathbf{m}_n(\kappa) w_n.$$

Here by abuse notation, we write κ for κI_n . We have

$$c(\mathbf{m}_n(\kappa), w_n) = (\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{m}_n(\kappa)), \mathbf{x}(w_n)) = (\kappa^n, (-1)^n) = (-1, \kappa)^{n^2} = (-1, \kappa)^n.$$

Thus

$$(w_n^\kappa, v_\kappa(w_n)) = (\mathbf{m}_n(\kappa), (-1, \kappa)^{n(n+1)/2})(w_n, 1).$$

Then by the Weil representation formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_\psi(w_n^\kappa, v_\kappa(w_n))\phi(\xi) \\ &= (-1, \kappa)^{n(n+1)/2} \gamma(\kappa^n, \psi_{1/2}) |\kappa|^{n/2} \omega_\psi((w_n, 1))\phi(\kappa\xi) \\ &= (-1, \kappa)^{n(n+1)/2} \gamma(\kappa^n, \psi_{1/2}) \gamma(\psi_{1/2})^{-n} |\kappa|^{n/2} \int_{F^n} \psi^{-1}(\text{tr}\langle \xi \kappa, y \rangle) \phi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $d_\kappa y = |\kappa|^{n/2} dy$, which is the self-dual measure with respect to the Fourier transform defined by ψ_κ . Since

$$\omega_{\psi_\kappa}((w_n, 1))\phi(\xi) = \gamma(\psi_{\kappa/2})^{-n} \int_{F^n} \psi_\kappa^{-1}(\text{tr}\langle \xi, y \rangle) \phi(y) d_\kappa y.$$

From $\gamma(\psi_\kappa) = \gamma(\kappa, \psi)\gamma(\psi)$, to show $\omega_\psi((w_n^\kappa, v_\kappa(w_n)))\phi = \omega_{\psi_\kappa}((w_n, 1))\phi$, it suffices to show

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma(\kappa, \psi_{1/2})^n \gamma(\kappa^n, \psi_{1/2}) (\kappa, \kappa)^{n(n+1)/2} = 1.$$

One can check Eq.(2.5) easily from the basic properties of $\gamma(\kappa, \psi)$, see Lemma 4.1 Chapter I of [Ku] for example. $\square$

3. ON THE DEPENDENCE OF RANKIN-SELBERG GAMMA FACTORS FOR $\text{Sp}_{2n} \times \text{GL}_m$ UP ψ

3.1. The Rankin-Selberg gamma factors for $\text{Sp}_{2n} \times \text{GL}_m$. In this subsection, we follow [Ka] to give a review of the definition of Rankin-Selberg gamma factors for $\text{Sp}_{2n} \times \text{GL}_m$, see §3.3 of [Ka]. Note that the matrix form for Sp_{2n} we used is different from Kaplan's.

For $m < n$, let Sp_{2m} be embedded into Sp_{2m} by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-m} & & & \\ & A & & B \\ & & I_{n-m} & \\ & C & & D \end{pmatrix}, A, B, C, D \in \text{Mat}_{m \times m}(F).$$

For a general positive integer m , the Heisenberg group H_m can be viewed as a subgroup of $U_{\text{Sp}_{2m+2}}$, the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of Sp_{2m+2} , by the embedding

$$[(x, y), z] \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & 2z & y \\ & I_r & {}^t y & \\ & & I_r & \\ & & -{}^t x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x, y \in F^m, z \in F.$$

Note that this embedding is compatible with the κ -action on both sides.

Denote $X_m = \{(m, 0), 0\}, x \in F^m\}$, $Y_m = \{(0, y), 0\}, y \in F^m\}$. Let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of F . We have a Weil representation ω_ψ of $\text{Sp}_{2m} \times H_m$ on the Bruhat-Schwartz functions space $\mathcal{S}(F^m)$.

Let $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_m$ be the double cover of GL_m defined by Hilbert symbol. If τ is a representation of GL_m , the genuine representation $\tau \otimes \gamma_\psi^{-1}$ of $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_m$ is defined by $\tau \otimes \gamma_\psi^{-1}((a, \epsilon)) = \epsilon \gamma_\psi^{-1}(\det(a)) \tau(a)$ for $(a, \epsilon) \in \text{GL}_m \times \{\pm 1\}$. Let U_{GL_m} be the standard maximal upper triangular unipotent subgroup of GL_m and let $\psi_{U_{\text{GL}_m}}$ be the generic character on U_{GL_m} defined by $\psi_{U_{\text{GL}_m}}(u) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{i,i+1})$ for $u = (u_{ij}) \in U_{\text{GL}_m}$. If τ is $\psi_{U_{\text{GL}_m}}$ -generic, let $\mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi_{U_{\text{GL}_m}})$ be the space of Whittaker functions of τ .

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider the induced representation $\tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi) := \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_m}^{\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{2m}} (\mathcal{W}(\tau \otimes \gamma_\psi^{-1}, \psi) \otimes |\det|^{s-1/2})$, where P_m is the standard Siegel parabolic subgroup of Sp_{2m} and $\widetilde{P}_m$ is its preimage in $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{2m}$. An element $f_s \in \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi)$ is a function $f_s : \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{2m} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi)$, which is also viewed as a $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function on $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{2m} \times \widetilde{\text{GL}}_r$, see the proof of Lemma 3.4 for some details.

Let π be a $\psi_{U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}}$ -generic irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and τ be a $\psi_{U_{\mathrm{GL}_m}}^{-1}$ -generic irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$. Note that the latter condition is in fact independent of the choice of ψ . For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_s \in \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi^{-1})$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^{\min\{n, m\}})$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$, the local zeta integral is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(W, \phi, f_s, \psi) \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}} \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2k}} \int_{R^{n, m}} \int_{X_m} W(w_{n-m, m} r x g w_{n-m, m}^{-1}) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g) \phi(x) f_s(g, I_m) dx dr dg, & m < n \\ \int_{U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W(g) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g) \phi(e_n) f_s(g, I_n) dg, & m = n \\ \int_{U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} \int_{Y_n \backslash H_n} \int_{R_{n, m}} W(g) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(hg) (\xi_n) f_s(\gamma_{n, m} r h g, I_m) dr dh dg, & m > n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} R^{n, m} &= \left\{ \mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-m-1} & & r \\ & 1 & \\ & & I_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \right\}, \\ w_{n-m, m} &= \mathbf{m}_n \begin{pmatrix} & I_m \\ I_{n-m} & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \\ e_n &= (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in F^n, \\ R_{n, m} &= \left\{ \mathbf{m}_k \begin{pmatrix} I_{m-n-1} & & r_1 \\ & 1 & \\ & & I_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n}_k \begin{pmatrix} r_3 & r_2 & 0 \\ t_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}, r_3 = t_2 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{(m-n-1) \times (m-n-1)} \right\}, \\ \gamma_{n, m} &= \begin{pmatrix} & J_n & \\ & J_{m-n} & \\ -J_{m-n} & & J_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

The above integral in the case $m = n$ was studied by Gelbart and Piatetski-Shapiro in [GePS]. Ginzburg, Rallis and Soudry extended Gelbart and Piatetski-Shapiro's construction to the general case in [GiRaS1, GiRaS2].

For $a \in \mathrm{GL}_m$, let $a^* = J_m ({}^t a^{-1}) J_m$. Let τ^* be the representation of GL_m defined by $\tau^*(a) = \tau(a^*)$. There is a standard intertwining operator $M(s, \tau) : \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi^{-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{I}_m(1-s, \tau^*, \psi^{-1})$ defined by

$$M(s, \tau) f_s((h, \epsilon_1), (a, \epsilon_2)) = \int_{N_k} f_s((w_m^{-1} n h, \epsilon_1), (d_m a^*, \epsilon_2)) dn, (h, \epsilon_1) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2k}, (a, \epsilon_2) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_k,$$

where N_m is the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of Sp_{2m} as in the notation section, $w_m = \begin{pmatrix} & J_m \\ -J_m & \end{pmatrix}$ and $d_m = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, (-1)^k) \in \mathrm{GL}_m$. Let $M^*(s, \tau) = \gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi) M(s, \tau)$ be the normalized intertwining operator, where Sym^2 is the symmetric square representation, and $\gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi)$ is defined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \int_{N_m} f_s(d_m w_m u, I_m) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{m, 2m}\right) du \\ &= \gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi) \int_{N_m} M(s, \tau) f_s(d_m w_m u, I_m) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{m, 2m}\right) du, \\ &= \int_{N_m} M^*(s, \tau) f_s(d_m w_m u, I_m) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{m, 2m}\right) du, \end{aligned}$$

where the Haar measure du can be chosen arbitrarily, see page 406-407 of [Ka].

Proposition 3.1. *There is a meromorphic function $\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi)$ such that*

$$\Psi(W, \phi, M^*(s, \tau) f_s, \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi) \Psi(W, \phi, f_s, \psi),$$

for all $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^{\min\{n, m\}})$ and $f_s \in \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi^{-1})$.

This follows from the uniqueness of Fourier-Jacobi models. See [Ka] for more details.

3.2. Dependence on ψ . Now fix $\kappa \in F^\times$. For simplicity, we write U for $U_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$. Fix a nontrivial additive character ψ of F , let ψ_κ be the character of F defined by $\psi_\kappa(x) = \psi(\kappa x)$. There are two generic characters ψ_U^κ and $(\psi_\kappa)_U$ of U . Recall that

$$\psi_U^\kappa(u) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i,i+1} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa u_{n,2n}\right), u = (u_{ij}) \in U,$$

and

$$(\psi_\kappa)_U(u) = \psi_\kappa\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_{i,i+1}\right) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \kappa u_{i,i+1} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa u_{n,2n}\right), u = (u_{ij}) \in U.$$

It is clear that ψ_U^κ and $(\psi_\kappa)_U$ are conjugate. In fact, if we denote $x_\kappa = x_\kappa^n = \mathrm{diag}(\kappa^{n-1}, \dots, \kappa, 1) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and $y_\kappa = y_\kappa^n = \mathbf{m}_n(e_\kappa^n)$, then

$$(3.2) \quad (\psi_\kappa)_U(u) = \psi_U^\kappa(y_\kappa u y_\kappa^{-1}).$$

Corollary 3.2 (Corollary of Theorem 1.1). *Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ such that π is both ψ_U and ψ_U^κ generic. For $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$, define $\tilde{W}^\kappa$ by $\tilde{W}^\kappa(g) = W(g^\kappa)$. Then $\tilde{W}^\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa)$. Moreover, the assignment $W \mapsto \tilde{W}^\kappa$ defines a bijection from $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$ to $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa)$.*

Proof. By assumption and Theorem 1.1, we have an isomorphism $\xi : (\pi, V) \rightarrow (\pi^\kappa, V)$. Since ξ is intertwining, we get

$$\xi(\pi(g)v) = \pi^\kappa(g)\xi(v), \forall g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, v \in V.$$

Fix a nonzero Whittaker functional $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_U(\pi, \psi_U)$. We define a functional λ^κ on V by $\lambda^\kappa(v) = \lambda(\xi(v))$. Then for $u \in U, v \in V$, we have

$$\lambda^\kappa(\pi(u)v) = \lambda(\xi(\pi(u)v)) = \lambda(\pi^\kappa(u)\xi(v)) = \lambda(\pi(u^\kappa)\xi(v)) = \psi_U(u^\kappa)\lambda(\xi(v)) = \psi_U^\kappa(u)\lambda^\kappa(v).$$

Thus $\lambda^\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}_U(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa)$. Since ξ is surjective, for any $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$, we can take $v \in V$ such that

$$W(g) = \lambda(\pi(g)\xi(v)).$$

For this particular W , we have

$$\tilde{W}^\kappa(g) = W(g^\kappa) = \lambda(\pi(g^\kappa)\xi(v)) = \lambda(\pi^\kappa(g)\xi(v)) = \lambda(\xi(\pi(g)v)) = \lambda^\kappa(\pi(g)v).$$

Since $\lambda^\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}_U(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa)$, we get $\tilde{W}^\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa)$. Since ξ is a bijection, it is clear that the assignment $W \mapsto \tilde{W}^\kappa$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$ to $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ such that π is both ψ_U and $(\psi_\kappa)_U$ generic. For $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$, define W^κ by $W^\kappa(g) = W(y_\kappa g^\kappa)$. Then $W^\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, (\psi_\kappa)_U)$. Moreover, the assignment $W \mapsto W^\kappa$ defines a bijection from $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U)$ to $\mathcal{W}(\pi, (\psi_\kappa)_U)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that there is a bijection $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\pi, (\psi_\kappa)_U)$ defined by $\tilde{W} \mapsto \tilde{W}'$, where $\tilde{W}'(g) = \tilde{W}(y_\kappa g)$. The assertion follows from Corollary 3.2 directly. $\square$

For a generic representation τ of GL_m , we consider the relation between $\tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi)$ and $\tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi_\kappa)$. Denote $x_\kappa^m = \mathrm{diag}(\kappa^{m-1}, \dots, \kappa, 1) \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F)$, and $y_\kappa^m = \mathbf{m}_m(x_\kappa^m) \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(F)$ as before. We also use the notations $\tilde{x}_\kappa^m = (x_\kappa^m, 1) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_m(F)$ and $\tilde{y}_\kappa^m = (y_\kappa^m, 1) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2m}(F)$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $f_s \in \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi)$, we define $f_s^\kappa : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2m}(F) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_m(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$f_s^\kappa(\tilde{g}, \tilde{a}) = (\det(x_\kappa^m a), \kappa)_F f_s(\tilde{g}^\kappa, \tilde{x}_\kappa^m \tilde{a}), \tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(F), \tilde{a} = (a, \epsilon) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_m(F).$$

Then $f_s^\kappa \in \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi_\kappa)$. Moreover, we have

$$f_s^\kappa(\tilde{g}, \tilde{I}_m) = (\det(x_\kappa^m), \kappa) \delta_{P_m}^{-1/2}(y_\kappa^m) |\det(x_\kappa^k)|^{1/2-s} f_s(\tilde{y}_\kappa^m \tilde{g}^\kappa, \tilde{I}_m),$$

where $\tilde{I}_m = (I_m, 1)$.

Proof. A typical element $f_s \in \tilde{I}_m(s, \tau, \psi)$ is of the form

$$f_s(\tilde{g}, \tilde{a}) = \lambda(\tau_\psi(\tilde{a})\xi_s(\tilde{g})),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U_{\mathrm{GL}_m}}}(\tau_\psi, \psi)$ and $\xi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P_m}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}}(\tau_\psi \otimes |\det|^{s-1/2})$. Note that ξ_s is a function $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(F) \rightarrow V_{\tau_\psi}$ which satisfies the condition

$$\xi_s(n(\mathbf{m}_m(a), \epsilon)\tilde{g}) = \epsilon\delta_{P_m}^{1/2}(a)|\det(a)|^{s-1/2}\gamma_\psi^{-1}(\det(a))\tau(a)\xi_s(\tilde{g}), n \in N_m, a \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F), \tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(F).$$

Note that, for $\tilde{a}_0 = (a_0, \epsilon) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}_m}(F)$, we have the relation

$$(3.3) \quad f_s(n(\mathbf{m}_m(a_0), \epsilon)\tilde{g}, \tilde{a}) = \delta_{P_m}^{1/2}(a_0)|\det(a_0)|^{s-1/2}f_s(\tilde{g}, \tilde{a}\tilde{a}_0).$$

Consider the V_τ -valued function ξ_s^κ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2k}}$ defined by $\xi_s^\kappa(\tilde{g}) = \xi_s(\tilde{g}^\kappa)$. By Szpruch's formula Eq. (2.1), we have

$$(\mathbf{m}_m(a), \epsilon)^\kappa = (\mathbf{m}_m(a), (\det(a), \kappa)_F \epsilon).$$

Since $\gamma_{\psi_\kappa}^{-1}(\det(a)) = \gamma_\psi(\det(a))^{-1}(\det(a), \kappa)_F$, it is easy to check that

$$\xi_s^\kappa(n(\mathbf{m}_k(a), \epsilon)\tilde{g}) = \delta_{P_k}^{1/2}(a)|\det(a)|^{s-1/2}\tau_{\psi_\kappa}((\mathbf{m}_k(a), \epsilon))\xi_s^\kappa(\tilde{g}),$$

i.e., $\xi_s^\kappa \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P_m}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}}(\tau_{\psi_\kappa} \otimes |\det|^{s-1/2})$. Now take $\lambda^\kappa := \lambda \circ \tau_{\psi_\kappa}(\tilde{x}_\kappa^m) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U_{\mathrm{GL}_k}}}(\tau_{\psi_\kappa}, \psi_\kappa)$, the function f_s^κ is in fact defined by

$$f_s^\kappa(\tilde{g}, \tilde{a}) = \lambda^\kappa(\tau_{\psi_\kappa}(\tilde{a})\xi_s^\kappa(\tilde{g})),$$

which is clear in $\tilde{I}_k(s, \tau, \psi_\kappa)$.

Now take $\tilde{a} = \tilde{I}_m$, we have

$$f_s^\kappa(\tilde{g}, \tilde{I}_m) = (\det(x_\kappa^m), \kappa)_F f_s(\tilde{g}, \tilde{x}_\kappa^m).$$

Since we have

$$f_s(\tilde{g}, \tilde{x}_\kappa^m) = \delta_{P_m}(x_\kappa^m)^{-1/2}|\det(x_\kappa^m)|^{1/2-s}f_s(\tilde{y}_\kappa^m \tilde{g}, \tilde{I}_m)$$

by Eq.(3.3), the “moreover” part follows. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\kappa \in F^\times$, π be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ such that $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U^\kappa) \neq 0$, and τ be a generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$, then*

$$\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi_\kappa) = \omega_\tau(\kappa)^{2n}|\kappa|^{2nm(s-\frac{1}{2})}\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi),$$

where ω_τ is the central character of τ .

If $\kappa \in F^{\times, 2}$, Proposition 3.5 is part of Theorem 1, (6) of [Ka].

Proof. We only consider the case when $m = n$. The computations in the other cases are similar. To ease the notations, we write $x_\kappa = x_\kappa^n, y_\kappa = y_\kappa^n$ as before.

Choose $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_U), \phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^n)$ and $f_s \in \tilde{I}_n(s, \tau, \psi^{-1})$ such that

$$\Psi(W_1, \phi_1, f_{1,s}) \neq 0,$$

where $W_1 = \pi(y_\kappa)W, \phi_1 = \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(y_\kappa)\phi, f_{1,s} = r(y_\kappa)f_s$, where r denotes the right translation.

We then have $W^\kappa \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, (\psi_\kappa)_U)$, and $f_s^\kappa \in \tilde{I}(s, \tau, \psi_\kappa^{-1})$ by Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. Since the identity map defines an isomorphism $(\omega_{\psi^{-1}})^\kappa \rightarrow \omega_{\psi_\kappa^{-1}}$, we have

$$\omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g^\kappa)\phi = \omega_{\psi_\kappa^{-1}}(g)\phi.$$

Thus the factor $\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi_\kappa)$ is defined by the functional equation

$$\Psi(W^\kappa, \phi, M^*(s, \tau)f_s^\kappa, \psi_\kappa) = \gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi_\kappa)\Psi(W^\kappa, \phi, f_s^\kappa, \psi_\kappa).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \Psi(W^\kappa, \phi, f_s^\kappa, \psi_\kappa) \\
&= \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W^\kappa(g) \omega_{\psi_\kappa^{-1}}(g) \phi(\xi_n) f_s^\kappa(g, I_n) dg \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W(y_\kappa g^\kappa) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g^\kappa) \phi(e_n) f_s(y_\kappa g^\kappa, I_n) dg \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} |\kappa|^{\rho_1} \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W(g y_\kappa) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(y_\kappa^{-1} g y_\kappa) \phi(e_n) f_s(g y_\kappa, I_n) dg \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} c'_{s,\kappa} |\kappa|^{\rho_1} \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W_1(g) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g) \phi_1(e_n) f_{1,s}(g, I_n) dg \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} c'_{s,\kappa} |\kappa|^{\rho_1} \Psi(W_1, \phi_1, f_{1,s}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{s,\kappa} = (\det(x_\kappa), \kappa)_F \delta_{P_n}^{-1/2}(y_\kappa) |\det(x_\kappa)|^{1/2-s}$, $c'_{s,\kappa} = \gamma_{\psi^{-1}}((x_\kappa)^{-1}) |\det(x_\kappa)|^{-1/2}$, and ρ_1 is a constant which comes from the changing variables $g \mapsto y_\kappa g^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1}$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & \Psi(W^\kappa, \phi, M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa, \psi_\kappa) \\
&= \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W(y_\kappa g^\kappa) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g^\kappa) \phi(e_n) M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(g, I_n) dg \\
&= \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W(y_\kappa g^\kappa) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g^\kappa) \phi(e_n) M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(g, I_n) dg \\
&= |\kappa|^{\rho_1} \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W(g y_\kappa) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(y_\kappa^{-1} g y_\kappa) \phi(e_n) M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, I_n) dg \\
&= |\kappa|^{\rho_1} c'_{s,\kappa} \int_{U \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} W_1(g^\kappa) \omega_{\psi^{-1}}(g) \phi_1(e_n) M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, I_n) dg.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute $M(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, I_n)$. Modulo a constant in the μ_2 part which will be cancelled out later, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, I_n) \\
&= \int_{N_n} f_s^\kappa(w_n^{-1} u y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, d_n) du \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} \int_{N_n} f_s(y_\kappa (w_n^{-1})^\kappa u^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} g y_\kappa, d_n) du \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} |\kappa|^{\rho_2} \int_{N_n} f_s(y_\kappa (w_n^{-1})^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} u g y_\kappa, d_n) du,
\end{aligned}$$

where ρ_2 is the constant which comes from the changing variable $u \mapsto y_\kappa u^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1}$. We have $y_\kappa (w_n^{-1})^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} = a_\kappa w_n^{-1}$, where $a_\kappa = \mathbf{m}_n(\kappa^n I_n)$. Since $\kappa^n I_n$ is in the center of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, we have

$$f_s(a_\kappa g, d_n) = \delta_{P_n}^{1/2}(a_\kappa) |\det(a_\kappa)|^{s-1/2} \omega_\tau(\kappa)^n f_s(g, d_n).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & M(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, d_n) \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} \int_{N_n} f_s(w_n^{-1} u g y_\kappa, d_n) du \\
&= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} M(s, \tau) f_s(g y_\kappa, I_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{s,\kappa} = \delta_{P_n}(a_\kappa)^{1/2} |\det(a_\kappa)|^{s-1/2} \omega_\tau(\kappa)^n$. Note that $\delta_{P_n}^{1/2}(a_\kappa) |a|^{\rho_2} = 1$ and hence

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(y_\kappa^{-1} g^{\kappa^{-1}} y_\kappa, I_n) \\ &= \frac{\gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi_\kappa)}{\gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi)} c_{s,\kappa} |\det(a_\kappa)|^{s-1/2} \omega_\tau(\kappa)^n M^*(s, \tau) f_{1,s}(g, I_n). \end{aligned}$$

Plug Eq.(3.7) into Eq.(3.5), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Psi(W^\kappa, \phi, M^*(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa, \psi_\kappa) \\ &= \frac{\gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi_\kappa)}{\gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi)} |\det(a_\kappa)|^{s-1/2} \omega_\tau(\kappa)^n c_{s,\kappa} |\kappa|^{\rho_1} c'_{s,\kappa} \\ & \quad \cdot \Psi(W_1, \phi_1, f_{1,s}, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

From Eq.(3.4), Eq.(3.8) and the local functional equation, we get

$$(3.9) \quad \gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi_\kappa) = |\kappa|^{n^2(s-1/2)} \omega_\tau(\kappa)^n \frac{\gamma(s, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi_\kappa)}{\gamma(s, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi)} \gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi).$$

We next compute $\frac{\gamma(s, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi_\kappa)}{\gamma(s, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi)}$ from Eq.(3.1). In the following calculation, we will omit the μ_2 part of f_s which will be cancelled out finally. The factor $\gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi_\kappa)$ is defined by

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{N_n} f_s^\kappa(d_n w_n u, I_n) \psi_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= \gamma(2s-1, \tau, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \psi_\kappa) \int_{N_n} M(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(d_n w_n u, I_n) \psi_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{N_n} f_s^\kappa(d_n w_n u, I_n) \psi_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} \int_{N_n} f_s(y_\kappa d_n w_n^\kappa u^\kappa, I_n) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} \int_{N_n} f_s(y_\kappa d_n w_n^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} y_\kappa u^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} y_\kappa, I_n) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} |\kappa|^{\rho_2} \int_{N_n} f_s(d_n w_n u y_\kappa, I_n) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

By Eq.(3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & M(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(d_n w_n u, I_n) \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} M(s, \tau) f_s(y_\kappa d_n w_n^\kappa u^\kappa, I_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{N_n} M(s, \tau) f_s^\kappa(d_n w_n u, I_n) \psi_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} \int_{N_n} M(s, \tau) f_s(y_\kappa d_n w_n^\kappa u^\kappa, I_n) \psi_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} \int_{N_n} M(s, \tau) f_s(y_\kappa d_n w_n^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} y_\kappa u^\kappa y_\kappa^{-1} y_\kappa, I_n) \psi_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du \\ &= c_{s,\kappa} C_{s,\kappa} \omega_\tau(\kappa)^{-n} |\det(a_\kappa)|^{1/2-s} \int_{N_n} M(s, \tau) f_s(d_n w_n u y_\kappa, I_n) \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n,2n}\right) du, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation comes from $M(s, \tau) f_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P_n}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}}(\tau_\psi^* | \cdot |^{1/2-s})$. Now from Eq.(3.10), we get

$$\frac{\gamma(2s-1, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \tau, \psi_\kappa)}{\gamma(2s-1, \mathrm{Sym}^2, \tau, \psi)} = \omega_\tau(\kappa)^n |\kappa|^{n^2(s-1/2)}.$$

By Eq(3.9), we get

$$\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi_\kappa) = \omega_\tau(\kappa)^{2n} |\kappa|^{2n^2(s-1/s)}.$$

This proves the assertion in the case $m = n$. □

REFERENCES

- [BZ] Bernstein and Zelevinski, *Representations of the group $GL_n(F)$, where F is a non-archimedean local field*, Russian Math. Surveys, **31**(1976), 1-68.
- [Ka] Eyal Kaplan, *Complementary results on the Rankin-Selberg gamma factors of classical groups*, Journal of Number Theory, **146** (2015), 390-447.
- [Ku] S. Kudla, *Notes on local theta correspondence*, available at <http://www.math.toronto.edu/skudla/castle.pdf>
- [LL] J.-P.Labesse and R.Langlands, *L-indistinguishability for SL_2* , Canadian Journal of Math. **31**, (1979), 726-785.
- [GGP] W. Gan, B. Gross and D. Prasa, *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, Astérisque, **346**, (2012), 1-109.
- [GePS] Gelbart, Piatetski-Shapiro, *L-functions for $G \times GL(n)$* , in “Explicit Constructions of Automorphic L-functions”, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1254**, (1987).
- [GeRoS] Gelbart, Rogawski, Soudry, *Endoscopy, Theta-Liftings, and Period Integrals for the Unitary Group in Three Variables*, Annals of Mathematics, **145**, (1997), 419-476.
- [GiRaS1] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis and D. Soudry, *Periods, poles of L-functions and symplectic-orthogonal theta liftings*, J. reine angew. Math. **487** (1997), 85-114.
- [GiRaS2] D.Ginzburg, S.Rallis and D.Soudry, *L-functions for symplectic groups*, Bull.Soc.Math.France, **126**, (1998) 181-244.
- [Ku] S. Kudla, *Notes on the local theta correspondence*, online notes, available at <http://www.math.toronto.edu/skudla/castle.pdf>
- [MVW] C. Moeglin, M.-F. Vignéras and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adic*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1291** (1987), Springer-Verlag.
- [Rao] R.R. Rao, *On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representation*, Pacific Journal of Math, **157** (1993), 335-371.
- [Sh] J. Shalika, *The multiplicity one theorem for GL_n* , Annals of Math. **100** (1974), 171-193.
- [St] Robert Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, 1967.
- [Sz] Dani Szpruch, *Uniqueness of Whittaker model for the metaplectic group*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **232**, (2007), 453-469.
- [Zh] Q. Zhang, *A local converse theorem for $U(1, 1)$* , preprint, arXiv: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.07062>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 100 MATH TOWER 231 WEST 18TH AVE, COLUMBUS OH 43210

E-mail address: zhang.1649@osu.edu